

62N



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,253	02/01/2001	Frank J. Bottari	MTS-183J	8161

7590 11/21/2002

Iandiorio & Teska
260 Bear Hill Road
Waltham, MA 02451-1018

EXAMINER

CIRIC, LJILJANA V

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3743

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/775,253	02/01/01	Bottari et al.	MTS-1835

EXAMINER	
L. Ciric	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3743	11

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric (3)
(2) Jason D. Shanske, Reg. No. 43,915 (4)

Date of Interview November 18, 2002

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-41

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In response to the Office communication mailed on November 5, 2002, Attorney Shanske telephoned Examiner Ciric to state that: (a) no amendment was filed nor was there any intent to file an amendment with the reply filed on August 1, 2002; (b) the claims corresponding to the elected first species are claims 1 through 11, 12 through 19, and 40 as noted in the reply filed on July 25, 2002.

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☒ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Ljiljana V. Ciric
LJILJANA CIRIC
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
AU 3743
203-208-3925